600 Broadway, Suite 200 Kansas City, Missouri 64105-1659

816-474-4240 816-421-7758 FAX marcinfo@marc.org www.marc.org



OPEN MEETING NOTICE

MISSOURI STP PRIORITIES COMMITTEE

September 13, 2022 1:30 PM

This meeting will be held in a hybrid in-person/virtual format from the Lewis & Clark Room in the MARC offices at 600 Broadway, Suite 200 in Kansas City, Missouri, 64105 and online via Zoom.

AGENDA

- 1. Welcome and Introductions
- 2. Approve the August 9, 2022 Meeting Summary*
- 3. MoDOT Updates
- **4.** MoDOT Unfunded Needs* Please reference the attached document
- **5.** CRRSAA Regional Preventive Maintenance Project Review current project status and facility selection process
- **6.** 2025-2026 Call for Projects
 Staff will provide the committee with a status report on current programming activities
- 7. Reasonable Progress Report
- 8. Other Business
- 9. Adjournment

* Action Items

Next Regularly Scheduled Meeting: October 11, 2022

Getting to MARC: Information on transportation options to the MARC offices, including directions, parking, transit, carpooling, and bicycling, can be found <u>online</u>. If driving, visitors and guests should enter the Rivergate Center parking lot from Broadway and park on the upper level of the garage. An entrance directly into the conference area is available from this level.

Parking: Free parking is available when visiting MARC. Visitors and guests should park on the upper level of the garage. To enter this level from Broadway, turn west into the Rivergate Center parking lot. Please use any of the available spaces on the upper level at the top of the ramp.

Special Accommodations: Please notify MARC at (816) 474-4240 at least 48 hours in advance if you require special accommodations to attend this meeting (i.e., qualified interpreter, large print, reader, hearing assistance). MARC programs are non-discriminatory as stated by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. For more information or to obtain a Title VI Complaint Form, call 816-474-4240 or visit our webpage.

2022 Unfunded Needs Prioritization Guidelines

With the expansion of the unfunded needs list to include the addition of a third tier and a multimodal listing, Districts will need to work with Planning Partners now through September to validate the existing need listing (tiers 1 and 2) and to develop the additional needs listings for tier 3 and multimodal. Each district will identify needs totaling their portion of future funding in the amount of \$2 billion for Tier 3 road and bridge needs and \$1 billion in Multimodal needs. The goal of the unfunded needs list is to be able to react quickly with deliverable projects to any identified or secured funding and to provide a list of needs which represent where additional funding could be used.

Road and Bridge: The \$4.5 billion of needs for road and bridges will be categorized as follows:

- 1. Tier 1 \$500 million urgent needs
 - a. Projects to address the need must be deliverable (awarded) with in the timeline of the current STIP.
- 2. Tier 2 \$2 billion of remaining needs
 - a. Projects to address the need should be deliverable in any of the next 10 years, 2023-2032.
- 3. Tier 3 \$2 billion of remaining needs
 - a. Remaining needs deliverable in future years

Multimodal: The \$1 billion future funding for Multimodal will be categorized as follows:

- 1. Needs may include all modes of Multimodal transportation.
- 2. The identified needs can address infrastructure improvements, operation assistance and capital maintenance.

General Guidance:

- To have needs that can be located easily (as might be required to show needs within congressional districts) needs are required to be landed in TMS, and as such Various/Various for route and county cannot be used.
- Additionally, each need location must be landed under separate entries. Grouped routes of similar treatments must be separated into individual entries with specific costs and location data.
- Need descriptions should be kept flexible and describe the issue to be addressed, such as Capacity Improvement, Safety Improvement, Access Improvements, Intersection Improvements, Pavement Improvements, Bridge Improvements, etc.
- Estimates should be in today's dollars. During each review cycle, costs can be reviewed and
 revised if necessary. If estimates are still reasonable, they do not have to be updated. If an
 estimate appears to no longer be reflective of the anticipated cost to address the need, it
 should be updated.

- Once formally published, needs are not deleted. When a need is no longer a regional
 priority and removed from the list or if a need is formally committed in the STIP, specific
 fields in the Unfunded Needs List TMS application are updated to reflect the disposition.
 Only erroneous TMS entries incorrectly identifying an added need which occurred during the
 unfunded needs development should be deleted.
 - When a need is formally added to the STIP
 - Added to STIP is updated to Yes
 - STIP Cycle Added is updated to reflect the STIP Cycle in which the project was added
 - Job Number is updated to reflect the project Job Number added to the STIP
 - Once the project which was previously a need is delivered
 - Delivered is updated to Yes
 - Year Delivered is updated to the award year
 - When a need is no longer regionally supported and is removed from the list
 - Removed by Dist. Without Adding to STIP is updated to Yes.
- While reviewing the existing unfunded needs the following fields should not be significantly changed without discussion with CO TP (Minor adjustments which tweak a need location or clarify the anticipated work are acceptable)
 - Description (other than to address greater flexibility as previously described)
 - Location (TW ID, Route, Begin Log, End Log or County Name)

Potential Future Funds (millions)								
Region			Multimodal					
District	Distribution ¹	\$500 Million Tier 1	\$2 Billion Tier 2	\$2 Billion Tier 3	Total	\$1 Billion		
NW	4.64%	\$23.22	\$92.89	\$92.89	\$208.99	\$46.44		
NE	4.68%	\$23.39	\$93.56	\$93.56	\$210.52	\$46.78		
KCR	2.80%	\$14.02	\$56.09	\$56.09	\$126.20	\$28.04		
KCU	18.37%	\$91.85	\$367.38	\$367.38	\$826.61	\$183.69		
CD	11.27%	\$56.34	\$225.38	\$225.38	\$507.10	\$112.69		
SL	34.58%	\$172.90	\$691.61	\$691.61	\$1,556.12	\$345.80		
SWR	9.56%	\$47.81	\$191.26	\$191.26	\$430.33	\$95.63		
SWU	5.30%	\$26.49	\$105.97	\$105.97	\$238.43	\$52.98		
SE	8.79%	\$43.97	\$175.87	\$175.87	\$395.70	\$87.93		
Total Dist.	100%	\$500	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$4,500	\$1,000		
¹ Distributed based upon the MHTC's FY23 system improvements funding formula.								

Timeline: The due date for the high priority unfunded needs to TMS is *October* <u>31</u>st.

List submittal: A TMS application has been built to manage the unfunded needs list. A separate document is provided which offers guidance on using the application and required data needs.

Missouri STP Priorities Committee September 13, 2022 Meeting Summary

Voting Members Present:			Voting Members (continued)			
Belton		Parkville)	Alysen Abel, Vice Chair		
Blue Springs (1 of 2)	Adam Hilgedick	Peculiar		Mickey Ary		
Blue Springs (2 of 2)		Platte Ci	ity	Dennis Gehrt		
Excelsior Springs		Pleasant	: Hill	Shelby Teufel		
Gladstone	Tim Nebergall	Raymor	е	Mike Krass		
Grain Valley	Mark Trosen	Raytown		Stephanie Boyce		
Grandview		Richmor	nd			
Greenwood		Smithville		Chuck Soules		
Harrisonville	Carl Brooks (alt.)	Cass Cou	unty			
Independence (1 of 2)	Jackie White	Clay County				
Independence (2 of 2)	Athena Huynh	Jackson County				
Kansas City (1 of 4)	Nicolas Bosonetto	Platte County		Bob Heim		
Kansas City (2 of 4)	Patty Hilderbrand, Chair	Ray County		Matt Nolker		
Kansas City (3 of 4)	Chad Thompson (alt.)	FHWA (Ex Officio)			
Kansas City (4 of 4)		KCATA				
Kearney	David Pavlich	MoDOT		Marisela Ward		
Lee's Summit (1 of 2)	George Binger	Bike/Pe	d Com Rep.	Noel Bennion		
Lee's Summit (2 of 2)	Michael Park	Goods Movement Rep.		Mike Duffy		
Liberty	Sherri McIntyre	Highway Com Rep.				
North Kansas City		RTCC Rep.				
Oak Grove						
MARC Staff:	Other Attendees:					
Marc Hansen	MoDOT: Krystal Jolly, Rachel Thomas		BHC Rhodes: Randy Gorton, David Smalling,			
Ron Achelpohl Melissa Schmitz			David Nolte, Ash	ley McKay		
Martin Rivarola Clay County: Terri Griffen			Garver: Griffen Smith			
Terry Anderson	Platte County: Dagmar Wood (alt.)	atte County: Dagmar Wood (alt.)		HG Consult: Nathan Hladky		
Patrick Trouba	Grain Valley: Dick Tuttle (alt.)		Art Gough			
Darryl Fields	Liberty: John Findlay (alt.), Joshua Martine		Lamp Rynearson: Dan McGhee			
Ray Webb	Independence: Alex Lopez		TranSystems: Jon Batchelor, John Zimmerman			
Beth Dawson	Weston: Greg Hoffman		Walter P Moore: Kati Horner			

1. Welcome and Introductions

Committee Chair Patty Hilderbrand welcomed the attendees and introductions were made. The meeting was held in a hybrid format.

2. Approval of Meeting Summary

MOTION: Alysen Abel moved, and George Binger seconded, to approve the meeting summary of August 9, 2022, as submitted. The motion passed.

3. MoDOT Updates

Marisela Ward provided the following program updates:

- They are holding Person of Responsible Charge 3-part training, October 25, 27, and November 1, 12:30 3 pm each session. Details are provided here: https://www.modot.org/node/26982
- The Cost Share call for projects will go out September 30th. The program requires 50% match.
- The PEL I-35/I-29 environmental linkages study: MoDOT is working on public outreach and reviewing road conditions.

4. MoDOT Unfunded Needs

Martin Rivarola reviewed the discussion of last month that MoDOT requested input from our committees to update their unfunded needs list for 2022, last reviewed in 2021. Having a current list allows them to react quickly with deliverable projects to any identified or secured funding, and to provide a list of needs which represent where additional funding could be used.

A scenario of recommendations was provided by staff to begin discussions.

Adopt Tier I road and bridge priority list with target of \$89.92M

• Moved from Tier II to Tier I: I-70 (I-435-I-70) Corridor Improvements, \$139M; (A poll reflected 95% agreement to include it in Tier 1)

Adopt Tier II road and bridge priority list with target of \$359.68M; the following projects total \$360,250,000.

- Route D Pavement reconstruction from Ambassador Drive to east of I-435, \$8.8M
- I-49 Pavement reconstruction from Blue Ridge Blvd. to 163rd St., \$83M
- I-29 and I-35 corridor improvements, \$158.4M
- Safety improvements across Bruce R. Watkins, \$110M

Adopt Tier III road and bridge priority list with target of \$359.68M

- Route AA/Waukomis Drive Complete Streets Reconstruction, \$15M
- Route 9 Corridor Complete Streets Improvements, \$13.4M
- I-470/US 50 Interchange Improvement, \$40M
- MO 92 Hwy Improvements Phase 2, \$20M
- US-50 pavement reconstruction I-470 to Route RA, \$46.2M
- I-49/Route 58 Interchange Enhancement Project, \$40,260,000
- MO 291, I-435 to Ash, Corridor Improvements, \$40M
- I-435 at Parvin Rd, a state system project, \$20,130,000

There was interest in learning more about the safety improvements of the I-49 pavement reconstruction project. MoDOT staff stated they would provide details at the next meeting.

Mr. Bosonetto shared that the city of Kansas City, Missouri received an award of \$5 million for the US 71 Reconnecting Neighborhoods planning project to conduct a Planning and Environmental Linkages study, NEPA analysis, and conceptual design for US 71, from 85th Street north to Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard in Kansas City.

The following live polls were done resulting in the following suggested additions:

Tier 2: 83% agreed to add Bruce R Watkins, I-29/I35 corridor improvements, Route D and I-49 (Blue Ridge to 163rd). Additional Tier 2 projects were suggested: Hwy 92, MO 291, I-470/US-50, I-29 / I-35, I-49/58 Interchange, Hwy 45 at Weston, MO, MO 291 – I 435 to Ash.

Another poll ranked the Tier III list as follows in priority order:

- MO 92 Hwy Improvements Phase 2, MTP score 20 MoDOT Priority N/A \$20M
- I-470/US 50 Interchange Improvement, MTP score 95 MoDOT Priority N/A \$40M
- I-70 and I-470 Interchange Improvement, MTP score 100 MoDOT Priority 1 \$73.810,000
- Route AA/Waukomis Drive Complete Streets Reconstruction, MTP score 149 MoDOT Priority N/A \$15M
- MO 291 (I-435 to Ash) Corridor Improvements, MTP score 77 MoDOT Priority 4 \$40M
- I-435 at Parvin Rd, MTP score N/A -MoDOT Priority 7 \$20,130,000
- I-49/Route 58 Interchange Enhancement Project, MTP score 93 MoDOT Priority 5 \$40,260,000
- US 50 Pavement Reconstruction from I-470 to Route RA, MTP score N/A MoDOT Priority 6 \$46.2M
- Route 9 Corridor Complete Streets Improvements, MTP score 114 MoDOT Priority N/A \$13.4M
- Missouri Route 9 from 3rd St to Admiral, MTP score 161 MoDOT Priority 9 \$5M
- 23rd St (M-78) Complete Streets, MTP score 132 MoDOT Priority 8 \$26,840,000
- I-70 Capacity Project (MO 7 to Rt. F), MTP score 77 MoDOT Priority 3 \$70.1M
- I-35 (I-435 to US 69) Corridor Improvements, MTP score 87 MoDOT Priority 2 \$67.1M

The list totaled to \$470M so the bottom two projects would not be able to be funded based on the funding target.

Next steps: The committee recommendation will be finalized at the next meeting. The Highway Committee will discuss on September 28 and the TTPC's review and approval is scheduled for October. Any questions can be sent to Martin Rivarola.

5. CRRSAA Regional Preventive Maintenance Project

The project status was reviewed and BHC staff provided a demonstration of how to identify the roads being considered for preventive maintenance. The site would go live September 20th. To have access, BHC will need ARCGIS Login information or participants may stop in the BHC office on Sept. 22. There will be only one contract per county. Ron Achelpohl asked how do we handle priority order within each county? BHC staff indicated they would make it allowable. The city of Liberty shared they plan to submit more than one location on the same contract and plan to pay the difference owed. Darryl stated he would contact MoDOT for their input on how to handle that situation. Also noted was that if needed, curb and gutter repairs would need to be completed prior to the overlay.

Q: Can we get a consolidated services contractor for curb and gutter work? Ron wasn't certain there would be time to set it up since the bid date is late this year or early 2023 with construction in spring of 2023.

6. 2025-2026 Call for Projects

Marc Hansen provided an update on the schedule.

- Preliminary scores were distributed to sponsors September 12th for their review and feedback to staff. Official scores will be distributed to the full committee for the next meeting. Contact staff with questions. Staff is still scoring CMAQ applications. TAP scores were distributed September 12th.
- The committee will meet September 27th for presentations; up to 5 minutes per jurisdiction. A PowerPoint template will be provided for use and submit to Marc by Sept. 26.
- The committee begins recommendation discussions on October 11 and review of CMAQ Traffic Flow projects.
- Programming Committee review and develop funding recommendations October December 9.
- TTPC release the recommendations for public review and comment December 20, 2022
- TTPC/MARC Board approval January 17/24, 2023.

7. Reasonable Progress Report

Marc reviewed that as mentioned last month, MARC's Planning Sustainable Places 2023 was moved to 2022 allowing sponsors to begin moving along sooner. Sponsors reviewed the progress of their FY2022 projects. Belton's Route 58 and Powell Rd Multimodal Traffic Relief project shifted to 2023. Jackson County's project might need to shift to 2023. The full FY2022, 2023 and 2024 lists of programmed projects were shown.

The current total to obligate in FFY 2023: \$28,875,622 with 13 projects The current total to obligate in FFY 2024: \$21,355,600 with 8 projects

8. Other Business

Alysen Abel share information on an upcoming WTS meeting on September 29th at The Bristol and APWA meetings on October 7th.

9. Adjournment

With no further business, a motion was approved to adjourn at 2:40 p.m.

Meeting schedule through the remainder of 2022:

Sep. 27 Special meeting for application presentations

Oct. 11 Regular meeting

Oct. 25 Special meeting

Nov. 8 Regular meeting

Nov. 29 Special meeting, if needed

Dec. 13 Regular meeting